IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA SYDNEY REGISTRY

No S307 of 2010

BETWEEN:

RONALD WILLIAMS

Plaintiff

and

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

First Defendant

10

MINISTER FOR SCHOOL **EDUCATION, EARLY CHILDHOOD** AND YOUTH

Second Defendant

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA FILED 0 JUL 2011 THE REGISTRY HOBART

MINISTER FOR FINANCE AND

DEREGULATION

Third Defendant

20

40

SCRIPTURE UNION QUEENSLAND Fourth Defendant

WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS OF THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF TASMANIA (INTERVENING)

PART I: SUITABILITY FOR PUBLICATION

30 1. These submissions are in a form suitable for publication on the Internet.

PART II: **BASIS OF INTERVENTION**

- 2. The Attorney-General for Tasmania intervenes pursuant to s 78A of the Judiciary Act 1903 (Cth) and seeks to make submissions only with respect to questions 2(a) and 4(a) of the Special Case [SCB, Vol 1, 35-36].
- 3. The Attorney-General for Tasmania supports the Plaintiff's contentions that the Darling Heights Funding Agreement is beyond the executive power of the Commonwealth conferred by s 61 of the Constitution, and beyond the executive power of the Commonwealth conferred by s 61 of

Date of Document: 20th July 2011

Prepared by:

Alan Morgan

Crown Solicitor

15 Murray Street

HOBART Tas 7000

Tel:

(03) 6233 3409

Fax:

(03) 6233 2874

Email: solicitor.general@justice.tas.gov.au

Ref:

Mr Simon Gates

Solicitor for the Attorney-General of Tasmania

the *Constitution* when read with s 51(xx) of the *Constitution*. The Attorney-General for Tasmania makes no submissions in relation to the contention that the Darling Heights Funding Agreement is beyond the executive power of the Commonwealth conferred by s 61 of the *Constitution* when read with s 51(xxiiiA) of the *Constitution*.

PART III: WHY LEAVE TO INTERVENE SHOULD BE GRANTED

4. Not applicable.

10

30

40

PART IV: APPLICABLE LEGISLATION

5. The applicable constitutional and statutory provisions are those identified in [85]-[86] of the Plaintiff's Amended Submissions.

PART V: SUBMISSIONS

- 6. The Attorney-General for Tasmania adopts and supports the submissions of the Attorney-General for New South Wales that the making by the Commonwealth of the Darling Heights Funding Agreement and the incurring of expenditure pursuant to it, are each beyond the executive power of the Commonwealth conferred by s 61 of the Constitution standing alone.
 - 7. The Attorney-General for Tasmania also adopts and supports the submissions of the Attorney-General for New South Wales that the making by the Commonwealth of the Darling Heights Funding Agreement and the incurring of expenditure pursuant to it, are each beyond the executive power of the Commonwealth conferred by s 61 of the Constitution when read with s 51(xx) of the Constitution; both by reason of Scripture Union Queensland not being a trading corporation within the meaning of s 51(xx), and even if it were, because the making by the Commonwealth of the Darling Heights Funding Agreement is not authorized by s 61 of the Constitution when read with s 51(xx).
 - 8. The Attorney-General for Tasmania makes no submissions with respect to whether the making by the Commonwealth of the Darling Heights Funding Agreement or the incurring of expenditure pursuant to it, is supported by the executive power of the Commonwealth conferred by s 61 of the Constitution when read with s 51(xxiiiA) of the Constitution.
 - 9. The Attorney-General for Tasmania makes no submissions with respect to the remainder of the questions posed by the Special Case.

Dated:

20th July 2011

Tel:

(03) 6233 3408 (03) 6233 2510

Fax:

Email:

solicitor.general@justice.tas.gov.

au

Simon Gates

Tel:

(03) 6233 3408 (03) 6233 2510

Fax:

Email: simon.gates@justice.tas.gov.au