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Introduction

1. Thank you for the invitation to speak this evening on the topic of women in law. It has been a topic of both personal and professional interest to me for almost 40 years now.
2. However, it has not been a comfortable topic for study or reflection. To question or discuss the position of women in the legal profession tends to challenge aspects of the structure of the profession and, more broadly, structural aspects of our society. And so, I have noticed a fairly common resistance to the discussion, involving (more or less explicitly) anterior questions designed to discourage the inquiry: would the conversation dare to question or subvert the legal profession as a supposed meritocracy? Are challenges to the status quo merely the unbecoming behaviour of those who cannot compete on a level playing field? Do women wish for positive discrimination, with the implicit corollary that they are not the best candidates for the job? Who is going to protect the interests of the children and aging parents of women who are busily pursuing their own selfish interests?
3. Over 40 years, I have observed very significant improvements in the participation of women in the legal profession. In 1984, when I started my legal studies, no woman had yet been appointed to the High Court of Australia. Fast forward and a majority of the High Court is now comprised of women. Of course, this one data point cannot symbolise the whole of women's contemporary experiences in the legal profession. But now that I have finally been asked to speak about women in the law, and now that I am mature enough no longer to be deflected from the topic, I cannot help but ask myself a different anterior question: should we still be talking about women in the law? I hope this evening to interrogate that proposition and to conclude that the organisers of this talk were more than justified in posing the question.
4. In the Western intellectual tradition, the personification of justice as a woman goes back at least as far as the Ancient Egyptian goddess Maat[[2]](#footnote-3). In Greek mythology, Themis[[3]](#footnote-4), known for her clear-sightedness and as the ruler over divine justice, and Dike[[4]](#footnote-5), the personification of human justice and moral order, were goddesses. In ancient Rome, Justicia, one of the four Virtues, was depicted holding scales, representing impartiality, and a sword, symbolising power[[5]](#footnote-6). The idea of "Lady Justice" is still invoked in sculptures adorning courts across the world[[6]](#footnote-7).
5. In contrast, the *practice* of law by women is contemporary: it is only over the last century that women have come to enjoy a "significant presence [and] an accepted role"[[7]](#footnote-8) in the Australian legal profession.
6. Only 100 years ago, the Western Australian Parliament passed the *Women's Legal Status Act 1923* (WA). The Bill which became that Act, poetically, was introduced into Parliament by Edith Cowan, the first female member of Parliament in Western Australia. With the passage of the Women's Legal Status Act, this year marks a century of women being permitted to practise law in every Australian State and Territory.
7. I will proceed in three parts, each of which asks a question: where did we start, how far have we come, and where to next? The first two sections are descriptive, and the third is normative.

Where did we start?

1. I will begin with the stories of four Australian[[8]](#footnote-9) women known, in part, for their being the "first" to achieve various markers of inclusion in the legal profession. While their stories have been well-documented, they are worth retelling.
2. By the turn of the twentieth century, women had begun to enrol in law schools in anticipation of legislative reform which would permit them to practice[[9]](#footnote-10). One such woman was Flos Greig, who upon her enrolment in 1897 was the first woman to attend Melbourne Law School. By the time of her graduation, she had been a driving force behind what was commonly known as the "Flos Greig Enabling Bill" to permit women to be admitted to practice. The evocative *Women's Disabilities Removal Act 1903* (Vic) entered into force five days after her graduation from Melbourne Law School[[10]](#footnote-11) and, on 1 August 1905, she became the first woman in Australia to be admitted to the legal profession.
3. In 1901, writing in the *Commonwealth Law Review*, Flos Greig noted that "[w]e fought hard enough for suffrage. We know what we wanted it for, and what we intend to effect now we have got it, but to be successful we will require knowledge"[[11]](#footnote-12). She also reported that as women entered a number of different professions, there had been no "major catastrophes"[[12]](#footnote-13); but despite this, "[t]he first women lawyers are hardly likely to make fortunes. The pioneer never does"[[13]](#footnote-14).
4. Following Victoria, women became eligible to practise law across the remaining Australian jurisdictions between 1904 and 1923[[14]](#footnote-15). And so it was that women were permitted into the *profession* – that term having historically been reserved elsewhere in the Commonwealth for occupations which women were not permitted to perform[[15]](#footnote-16).
5. Other women were not so fortunate in the timing of their transition from law school to practice: for Ada Evans at the University of Sydney, who became the country's first female law graduate in 1902, the delay in the necessary legislative developments "effectively excluded her from legal practice"[[16]](#footnote-17).
6. Ada Evans had initially been refused registration as a student-at-law in NSW on the ground that there was no precedent. And of course there was no precedent: the law of NSW at the time was, as former High Court Justice Mary Gaudron once put it, "testimony to the creative genius of the common law as administered by men"[[17]](#footnote-18), that is to say that women were not considered "persons" under the relevant statute[[18]](#footnote-19). Her eventual enrolment was only made possible because the Dean, Professor Pitt Cobbett, was travelling abroad, and the acting Dean, Professor Jethro Brown, was comparatively sympathetic to the causes of women's education[[19]](#footnote-20). Once Professor Cobbett returned, there was a "series of doors slamming, chairs banging on floors and bells ringing"[[20]](#footnote-21) and he took it upon himself to tell Ada Evans that she did not possess the "physique" for the practise of law. He suggested instead that she take up medicine[[21]](#footnote-22). But nevertheless, she persisted with her legal studies.
7. Unfortunately, however, it was not until almost 20 years after her graduation – 1921 – that Ada Evans was able to be admitted to practice, after she had been instrumental in the campaign for legislative development. And then, despite being offered work, she never practised: Ada Evans took the view that so much time had elapsed since her studies that she was incapable, and she did not wish "women's standing in the profession to be undermined by a show of incompetence"[[22]](#footnote-23). We will never know if this decision reflected good judgment or the sadly common feminine trait of self-effacement and perhaps a touch of imposter's syndrome.
8. Turning to South Australia, Dame Roma Mitchell was one of the first three women to enter the profession in that State after the passage of the *Female Law Practitioners Act 1911* (SA). From there, Dame Roma became the first to appear in the High Court, in 1938[[23]](#footnote-24); the first to address the Court in the 1960s[[24]](#footnote-25); the first woman in Australia appointed Queens Counsel in 1962 (almost 360 years after the development of the institution of silk[[25]](#footnote-26)); and the first female judicial officer in Australia by her appointment to the Supreme Court of South Australia in 1965 (and she remained the only woman on that Court at her retirement from judicial office some 18 years later). She was also the first female university chancellor in Australia at the University of Adelaide; and the first female State Governor[[26]](#footnote-27). I gratefully adopt former Justice Kirby's words from some 25 years ago: Dame Roma's astonishing career is a "beacon of hope and encouragement"[[27]](#footnote-28).
9. By comparison, the presence of women in the academy came much later. The first woman to become a Professor in Australia, Enid Campbell, was only appointed to a chair at Monash University in 1967[[28]](#footnote-29), and only two more were appointed over the next 20 years[[29]](#footnote-30). Professor Campbell became a vocal and influential scholar, particularly with respect to rights and freedoms, administrative law, parliamentary privilege, and the Australian judiciary.
10. It is difficult to imagine, in 2023, the difficulties which these women must have faced and, at least at times, how lonely their journeys must have been. For that reason, it is important that their stories be considered in context. Flos Greig, Ada Evans and Dame Roma, in particular, grew up in a world dominated by the culture and morality of the British empire, where the spectre of the "angel in the house" loomed large. That concept, drawn from Coventry Patmore's poem of the same name[[30]](#footnote-31), described the essential characteristics of the idealised woman (and wife) of Victorian England. Virginia Woolf, who fantasised about killing the angel in the house, described her as "intensely sympathetic", "immensely charming" and "utterly unselfish": she was "pure", "excelled in the difficult arts of family life" and "sacrificed herself daily"[[31]](#footnote-32). The ideal Victorian woman, then, was not seised of a "mind or wish of her own"[[32]](#footnote-33), let alone desirous of a career in the law. American feminist scholar Nel Noddings described her as "infantile, weak and mindless"[[33]](#footnote-34).
11. At the turn of the twentieth century, women were not only swimming against the oppressive tide of cultural expectations of Victorian femininity; they were also seeking to join the profession against a backdrop of centuries of so-called "scholarship" speaking of the inferiority of women as intellectual beings[[34]](#footnote-35). Aristotle posited that women are possessed of an imperfect deliberative faculty[[35]](#footnote-36), and Rousseau and Freud theorised that women are incapable of developing a sense of justice[[36]](#footnote-37).
12. In the early twentieth century, Schopenhauer thought that women were "suited to being the nurses and teachers of our earliest childhood precisely because they themselves are childish, silly and short-sighted"[[37]](#footnote-38). In 2007, Professor Margaret Thornton argued that "[t]he view that the difference and inferiority of women is grounded *in nature* [has been] entrenched within the Western intellectual tradition". According to Professor Thornton, this idea had "not evaporated with the cautious letting in of women associated with Second Wave feminism" from the early 1960s[[38]](#footnote-39).
13. And so it was that in 1911, a South Australian Member of the Legislative Council considered unpersuasive the analogy that women were already permitted to practise as medical doctors: there was no equivalent in law for the fact that "a lady doctor attended to ladies only"[[39]](#footnote-40). "Women ... often [ask] for many things that ought not to be granted them."[[40]](#footnote-41) More supportively (I choose to think), another South Australian simply remarked that "[l]ike chips in porridge, they won't do much harm"[[41]](#footnote-42). In 1923, one Member of the Western Australian Parliament doubted that it was a "step in the right direction to try to bring about equality of the sexes", given women's "important functions" as "custodians of our race"[[42]](#footnote-43).
14. That sense of resistance against the very notion of the woman lawyer held by some men persisted well into the last century. When the Queensland Attorney-General appointed six women judges out of seven to the Supreme Court of that State in the late-1990s, the Government was accused of making appointments on the basis of gender, and not merit[[43]](#footnote-44). One senior male member of the profession notoriously described the appointments of women to judicial office as the result of "queue-jumping" or prone to "undermin[e] the intellectual rigour of the state judiciary"[[44]](#footnote-45) – statements for which he later apologised. Also in the 1990s, and after the invention of the speaker phone, I had the pleasure of hearing a solicitor say to a barrister proposing me as his junior: "This is an important matter for our client, and we don't want a woman involved."

How far have we come?

Women in the profession: Some numbers

1. So how far we have come since these pioneering women entered the profession? Women like Flos Greig, Ada Evans, Dame Roma Mitchell and Enid Campbell, memorialised as they are now, paved the way for massive (and to them, I'm sure, completely unimaginable) growth in the participation of women across all corners of the profession. As Chief Justice Kiefel put it mid-last year in a speech to the Australian Women Lawyers' Conference: "[w]omen lawyers are present in even greater numbers; they are taking leadership positions; they are succeeding and are in positions of authority."[[45]](#footnote-46) To illustrate this, knowing full well that I sit in a room of lawyers, not renowned for our mathematical prowess, permit me to share some of the numbers.
2. Starting with present company: women reportedly make up more than 60% of law students and graduates. At other ranks of the academy, whereas in 1994, roughly 39% of legal academics in Australia were women, by 2018, that number had risen to approximately 51%[[46]](#footnote-47). Women are also increasingly likely to have obtained doctorate qualifications, gained permanent contracts, and have been promoted to senior positions in universities[[47]](#footnote-48).
3. By October 2020, 53% of practising solicitors nationally were female[[48]](#footnote-49). The number is higher still in NSW: at 30 June 2022, women comprised 54% of the approximately 39,000 solicitors with practising certificates[[49]](#footnote-50). Between 1997 and 2021, there was an exponentially higher growth rate of female solicitors than male solicitors: the number of female solicitors grew more than four-fold, whereas the number of male solicitors grew less than two-fold[[50]](#footnote-51). In July 2022, women comprised 32.1% of the partnerships of Australia's largest law firms[[51]](#footnote-52).
4. At the Bar, on average between the States and Territories, women comprise roughly 27.7% of barristers, and 15% of Senior or King's Counsel[[52]](#footnote-53). Here in NSW, women comprise 24.6% of practising barristers, and only 13.9% of Senior Counsel[[53]](#footnote-54). While those numbers remain comparatively low to other parts of the profession, I am told that in the High Court's Archives there is a set of Robert Menzies' personal diaries, and in the back of one volume he has personally recorded the names of each of the members of the Victorian Bar in 1918 – just 59 of them, and of course, all men.
5. And then, to the judiciary. As many of you will know, with the appointment of Justice Jayne Jagot in October 2022, the High Court is now comprised of a majority female Justices, under the Court's first female Chief Justice. Consistently with that feat, the growth in the appointment of women to judicial office across Australia has been massive. In 2012, women made up 10.2% of judicial officers nationally. By June 2022, that number had risen to 43.1%, comprising 39.4% of superior courts and 45.9% of inferior courts[[54]](#footnote-55).

Women in the profession: The bigger picture

1. But, of course, that is only part of the picture of women's place in the profession. In the academy, women remain underrepresented at the highest levels: in 2018, 58% of male legal academics were appointed at the associate professor or professor level, compared with 42.5% of female legal academics[[55]](#footnote-56). A 2019 study also revealed that, between 2015 and 2017, just 9% of academic citations in High Court judgments were to works by female academics[[56]](#footnote-57). (With that said, the broader picture of academic citations of work authored by women appears to be better[[57]](#footnote-58), at least overseas[[58]](#footnote-59).) Women are also disproportionately affected by the increasing casualisation of the academic workforce[[59]](#footnote-60), and women make up a disproportionate amount of teaching-only or teaching-focused roles[[60]](#footnote-61).
2. In other areas of the profession, despite the massive growth in women as a proportion of practising solicitors, fewer than a third of women in private practice lead law firms—29% are partners or principals—compared to 54% of men[[61]](#footnote-62).
3. At the Bar, the 2019-2020 reporting period for the Law Council of Australia found that 31% of briefs by reporting entities went to female barristers, exceeding the target of the 2016 Equitable Briefing Policy by one percentage point[[62]](#footnote-63). Whilst this was a marked improvement from the 20% of briefs that went to women in 2016-17, the gender pay gap remains: women only earned 23% of fees[[63]](#footnote-64). Additionally, reporting entities under the Policy were more likely to brief junior women, rather than their senior counterparts[[64]](#footnote-65).
4. From my perspective, these disparities are particularly evident in the numbers of women appearing in the High Court[[65]](#footnote-66). In the matters in which judgment was delivered by five or more Justices of the Court last year, women comprised 22% of appearances, and only 15% of counsel addressing the Court in oral submissions. Three prominent male senior counsel from the NSW Bar addressed the Court more times than all women combined.
5. With all that said, numbers are, of course, notoriously unfeeling. They fail to capture the everyday interactions which can accumulate to make women's experience of the profession quite different from that of their male counterparts. In that regard, the general attrition of women from the profession remains high, and re-engagement for women who step away from the profession for a period of time remains difficult. In 2014, the Law Council's National Attrition and Re-Engagement Study identified several key drivers for attrition from legal practice: differing experiences of career development between genders; the male-dominated culture of the profession; unconscious bias; sexual harassment and discrimination; and inflexible working conditions[[66]](#footnote-67). In the context of our broader culture, all of these drivers tend to affect women disproportionately to men.
6. Women also continue to face what those of us in this room might fairly consider to be decidedly Victorian-era assumptions about women's roles in the workplace: for example, in my associate's first week as a law graduate, while seated between two male graduates in a bay of graduate workstations, she was asked by a partner when she had joined the firm... as a secretary. This inquiry followed questions to the two men about how they were each enjoying their first week of the graduate programme.

Where to from here?

1. The point of this is not to rattle off statistics and to assume that they tell the full story: nor is it to dwell negatively on how far women might still have to go[[67]](#footnote-68). To the contrary, the number of women in this room and at law schools, the many women achieving brilliant things in their practise of the law, and the many people (women and otherwise) dedicated to their inclusion and success, are all cause for celebration.
2. That leads me to my final question for this evening: where to from here? In asking that question, I wish to make two points.

Thinking about substantive equality

1. First, it is important to look beyond numerical equality and focus on substantive inclusion for women in the profession. As former, and first female Governor-General, Quentin Bryce remarked in 2017, it is crucial that society does not become "complacent" with the growing number of "firsts" achieved by women[[68]](#footnote-69). The statistics I have shared this evening indicate that the fight for women's inclusion in the legal profession is not over.
2. Beyond the numbers, though, it crucial to effect structural change if we accept substantive gender equality in the legal profession as a worthwhile goal[[69]](#footnote-70).
3. In particular, caring responsibilities for children and family members, as well as the maintenance of a household, overwhelmingly remain a woman's problem[[70]](#footnote-71). Pregnancy and childcare "have continued to be persistent sources of less favourable treatment for women in the workplace"[[71]](#footnote-72), and there remains a "marked gap between the rhetoric and reality" of workplaces in the legal profession supporting flexible work[[72]](#footnote-73). These cultural realities must be addressed in order to equalise women's ability to progress through the legal profession, and to minimise attrition. One way to achieve this is by providing economic and cultural incentives for greater male investment in the economy of care - or at least by seeking to "de-feminise" the labour of care[[73]](#footnote-74). Putting aside more formal childcare, it is easy to think of the many small ways in which these expectations manifest - for example, in the workplace, women are often still expected to carry the mental and emotional load of management and wellbeing: it is far more likely to be a woman organising the cake and card for someone's birthday. I believe that the goal of substantive equality is not merely for the benefit of women lawyers. Rather, it promises wider societal benefits: for our children, our families, our psychological wellbeing, and for democratic participation[[74]](#footnote-75). However, many people, and especially male lawyers and their families, remain convinced that their interests lie with the status quo.
4. The legal profession is also subject to broader forces affecting women in the workplace. Lawyers are certainly not immune from the gender pay gap, as I have already mentioned in the context of barristers' fees. More generally, the national pay gap sits at 14.1%, and in May 2022, women, on average, earned $263.90 less than men each week[[75]](#footnote-76). In 2022, research published by KPMG and prepared with the Diversity Council Australia and Workplace Gender Equality Agency revealed that the gender pay gap in Australia is equivalent to $966 million per week, or $51.8 billion per year[[76]](#footnote-77). And in NSW, in 2021, the Law Society reported that the gender pay gap is evident at all ages of the profession practising as solicitors[[77]](#footnote-78). Policies directed at rectifying that gap will also be important in ensuring true substantive equality for women in the profession, because while Flos Greig was perhaps right to say that the female "pioneers" couldn't make a fortune - there is no good reason why women should not be equally remunerated for the same work.

A more inclusive approach to diversity

1. The second point I wish to make invites you to think laterally. It is to say that efforts to achieve gender equality in the legal profession should be coupled with efforts to achieve greater diversity across the board. We should consciously include people of other identity groups and experiences in our own lives; we should also seek to understand the role of intersectionality in a person's experience in the legal profession. Put another way, individually and as a professional community, lawyers should be just as committed to the success of people of diverse ethnic and cultural backgrounds, socioeconomic backgrounds, disabilities, genders, and sexualities as it is to the success of women. Women's progression and challenges have important lessons to be applied for more marginalised groups in our community: women can often empathise with the experience of disadvantage and discrimination and are well-placed to appreciate the benefits of diversity for broader society, and not just themselves as individuals.
2. Why exactly do we talk about diversity in the profession, and what is diversity in this context? In the context of the judiciary, much ink has been spilled on the topic of why fixing the so-called "diversity deficit" is important[[78]](#footnote-79).
3. At its most base, there is the symbolic justification, which treats a court which is representative of the constituent parts of the community it serves as an inherent good[[79]](#footnote-80). The same might be said of diversity in the holders of positions of power elsewhere in the profession.
4. Symbolism also has an instrumental benefit: from a feminist perspective, women judges and high-profile members of the profession are able to "send a message to women of different backgrounds about the degree to which governmental power is open to them and designed to serve their interests"[[80]](#footnote-81). For women appearing in those courts, or working with those women, it can have "very real additional benefits when it comes to their own sense of belonging in the profession, as well as to the way in which they are perceived by male [practitioners]"[[81]](#footnote-82).
5. Relatedly, a diverse profession is beneficial to the perceived legitimacy of the institution in the eyes of the public. Looking again to the judiciary, as former Chief Justice Bathurst of the NSW Supreme Court recently observed, it is well-documented that marginalised and minority groups are less trusting of courts[[82]](#footnote-83). Particularly given the "legacy of fear, suspicion and distrust" that governs many Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples' negative views of the justice system[[83]](#footnote-84), improvements to the diversity of the judiciary will likely assist in challenging perceptions that the judiciary "does not serve the interests of all"[[84]](#footnote-85). Trust in the legal profession as an institution will increase where there is a belief that judges and practitioners "represent social groups to which they feel they belong"[[85]](#footnote-86) and are less "alien"[[86]](#footnote-87).
6. This might also bear upon the capacity of the profession and the justice system to understand, and respond to, problems that demand an intersectional approach, such as the particular difficulties faced by lawyers with disabilities or female children growing up with social disadvantage.
7. A more vexed area of debate about diversity in the judiciary concerns its impacts (if any) on judicial decisions. It may not be correct[[87]](#footnote-88) nor appropriate[[88]](#footnote-89) to assume that a judge's gender, race, sexuality or any other personal trait will necessarily bear upon their decision-making. Upon appointment, a judge takes an oath to act "according to law"[[89]](#footnote-90) and to act "without fear or favour, affection or ill-will"[[90]](#footnote-91): they are a judge first, and only second a woman (or a person of colour, a transgender person, or a person with disability)[[91]](#footnote-92). Certainly, we should not *expect* a person to decide a matter differently on the basis of any such trait[[92]](#footnote-93), especially given the homogeneity in legal thinking which is encouraged by a standardised legal education and the strictures of decided precedent.
8. However, it is inevitable that a person's experience in life will impact their perspective and their approach to a legal problem, falling far short of impermissible judicial law reform or deviation from their judicial oath. As Benjamin Cardozo put it in 1921[[93]](#footnote-94):

 "The eccentricities of judges balance one another. One judge looks at problems from the point of view of history, another from that of philosophy, another from that of social utility, one is a formalist, another a latitudinarian, one is timorous of change, another dissatisfied with the present; out of the attrition of diverse minds there is beaten something which has a constancy and uniformity and average value greater than its component elements."

1. As an example, Baroness Brenda Hale, the first female member of the House of Lords and the first female president of the United Kingdom Supreme Court, spoke in 2005 of an instance in which her experience as a woman was significant to her judgment: in *Parkinson v St James and Seacroft University Hospital NHS Trust*[[94]](#footnote-95). The case raised a question of so-called "wrongful birth", involving the scope of a doctor's liability in negligently failing to sterilise a man or woman who later conceives a child. Lady Hale "tried to put into words the experience of bearing and rearing a child from the woman's point of view", rather than simply painting the problem in financial terms: she "tried to reframe the damage in terms, first of the invasion of the mother's right to bodily integrity and autonomy, and second in terms of the responsibility which having any child entails 24/7 until the child is old enough to fend for herself"[[95]](#footnote-96).
2. The importance of diverse perspectives and life experiences to the quality and functioning of the justice system extends far beyond the Bench. At the societal level, psychological studies have shown that exposure to people from marginalised groups can "have a substantial capacity to curtail implicit bias"[[96]](#footnote-97).
3. At the tertiary level, studies have indicated that the formation of law graduates with "an awareness and concern about structural inequalities" would have a marked improvement on "the quality of justice, give the public greater confidence in the legal system, and improve the delivery of legal services to all clients"[[97]](#footnote-98). In the litigation context, diversity of perspectives can also be achieved through the involvement of juries, expert witnesses, public interest or sectional interest groups appearing as amicus curiae, and in the role that laypeople play on administrative tribunals[[98]](#footnote-99).
4. But even putting aside the perspectives that might be brought to individual matters, as former Chief Justice Beverley McLachlin, the first female Chief Justice of Canada, once remarked, a gender-diverse judiciary is a utilitarian goal: "[s]imply put, it represents a sound use of human resources ... modern societies cannot afford to lose the intellectual power and energy of half the population"[[99]](#footnote-100).
5. Across the profession, organisations which are perceived to be committed to diversity and inclusion are better able to recruit and retain highly skilled staff, and enjoy greater productivity and performance[[100]](#footnote-101). A study by global accounting firm Deloitte found that employees are 80% more likely to assess that they work in a "high-performing organisation" when they feel it is committed to diversity[[101]](#footnote-102). This is particularly important to recognise when we know that the intersection of various axes of disadvantage or marginalisation, such as gender and ethnic diversity, still has a marked impact on a lawyer's career progression[[102]](#footnote-103); and potentially more so when the number of solicitors born overseas is increasing[[103]](#footnote-104).
6. Many of the arguments in support of a more diverse judiciary apply more broadly to the legal profession, and it is important that we approach the concept of "diversity" in the profession in an inclusive and intersectional way which has regard to the economic and cultural forces at work, as opposed to simply "individualising" the problem of equality.
7. And so, for example, equally encouraging as the current gender composition of the Court on which I sit is that, in May 2022, Warramunga man Lincoln Crowley became Australia's first Indigenous judge of a Supreme Court. And he will certainly not be the last. But it warrants remembering that while the participation of First Nations Australians in legal education is on the rise[[104]](#footnote-105), there remain significant barriers to equal participation in education[[105]](#footnote-106), which is perhaps best demonstrated by noticing that the most frequent appearances in the High Court are by white men who went to the same few universities.

Steps for aspiring and young lawyers

1. So a diverse and inclusive profession is beneficial for the profession itself and for the people it serves. It strengthens the quality of, and trust in, our institutions. And it is a goal the achievement of which can, and should, involve everyone in the profession.
2. That brings me back to this room: what can aspiring and young lawyers do in pursuit of that goal? A number of things come to mind. Young lawyers can encourage their firms and organisations to sign up and commit to diversity initiatives, such as those run by Pride in Diversity; push them to brief more diverse junior and senior counsel; form or participate in diversity and inclusion networks; and call out instances of exclusionary practices. As they progress, young lawyers can mentor students and younger practitioners of all backgrounds, encouraging those in their networks to do so as well. Senior members of the profession can be encouraged to pay forward the support and encouragement that they received as young lawyers. One of the strengths of the legal profession is its collegiality.
3. As each of you are already doing, aspiring and young lawyers can educate themselves on matters relating to diversity and inclusion, and remain vigilant to the realities of unconscious bias. This includes questioning and dismantling gendered and Western-centric ideas of what constitutes "merit" and "excellence", especially in the context of advocacy and our narrow conceptions of what is "persuasive" or "authoritative"[[106]](#footnote-107). This is directed, in particular, to those in relative positions of privilege. And, of course, it is crucial to remain interested and passionate, including through participation in democratic processes.
4. Finally, the division of domestic responsibilities and their impact on career advancement is an important matter for negotiation. I am optimistic that the openness of young lawyers to questioning historical unequal divisions of labour, and to adopting diverse family structures, will put the legal profession on a firm trajectory for structural change.
5. One hundred years on from women being permitted to practise in every Australian jurisdiction, we have much to celebrate, and more to do. I look forward to what the future holds for the profession.
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