

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

NOTICE OF FILING

This document was filed electronically in the High Court of Australia on 28 Jun 2023 and has been accepted for filing under the *High Court Rules 2004*. Details of filing and important additional information are provided below.

Details of Filing				
File Number:	M20/2023 Ismail v. Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultur			
Registry:	Melbourne			
Document filed:	Form 27B - Plaintiff's chronology			
Filing party:	Plaintiff			
Date filed:	28 Jun 2023			

Important Information

This Notice has been inserted as the cover page of the document which has been accepted for filing electronically. It is now taken to be part of that document for the purposes of the proceeding in the Court and contains important information for all parties to that proceeding. It must be included in the document served on each of those parties and whenever the document is reproduced for use by the Court.



M20/2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA MELBOURNE REGISTRY

BETWEEN:

M20 of 2023

Mounib Ismail Plaintiff

and

Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs Defendant

PLANTIFF'S CHRONOLOGY

PART I: CERTIFICATION

1. These submissions are in a form suitable for publication on the internet.

PART II: LIST OF PRINCIPAL EVENTS

Date	Event	Application
		Book page(s)
15 January 1989	Plaintiff born in Lebanon to parents who were	33
	Palestinian refugees.	
7 August 2008	Birth of Halima Chakik's child 'Matia Chakik'	346
3 May 2010	Plaintiff arrived in Australia.	301, see also
		128 [5], 394 [3]
Late 2015	Plaintiff commenced a romantic relationship with	130 [12]
	Heba Chakik.	
25 July 2020	Plaintiff committed the offences of common	81–3
	assault and contravened a prohibition/restriction	
	by, in essence, arguing over text messages and	
	grabbing Heba Chakik's arm.	
23 November 2020	Plaintiff committed the offence of contravene a	88–90
	prohibition/restriction and stalk/intimidate by, in	
	essence, yelling and screaming profanities at	
	Heba Chakik.	
13 April 2022	Plaintiff travelled to Lebanon because his uncle	300, see also
	was suffering from brain cancer (his uncle died	134 [32]
	within a few days of the Plaintiff's arrival in	
	Lebanon). Prior to his departure for Lebanon, the	
	Plaintiff held a Partner (Subclass 801) visa. That	
	visa ceased on the day of his departure by reason	
	of s 82 of the Act.	

From Lebanon, the Plaintiff applied for a Return	294–9
(Residence) (Class BB) (Subclass 155) visa.	
Delegate of the Defendant decided to refuse to	40
grant the Plaintiff the visa (Decision).	
The letter notifying the Plaintiff of the Decision	36
incorrectly stated that his de facto partner could	
apply to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal	
(Tribunal) for review of the Decision.	
Heba Chakik applied to the Tribunal for review of	336 [5]
the Decision.	
Heba Chakik withdrew the review application.	336 [6]
Plaintiff applied to the Tribunal for review of the	326
Decision.	
Tribunal held an interlocutory hearing on the	336 [8]
question of whether or not it had jurisdiction.	
Tribunal held that it did not have jurisdiction.	334–44
Plaintiff remains stranded in Lebanon.	394 [1]
	 Delegate of the Defendant decided to refuse to grant the Plaintiff the visa (Decision). The letter notifying the Plaintiff of the Decision incorrectly stated that his de facto partner could apply to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal (Tribunal) for review of the Decision. Heba Chakik applied to the Tribunal for review of the Decision. Heba Chakik withdrew the review application. Plaintiff applied to the Tribunal for review of the Decision. Tribunal held an interlocutory hearing on the question of whether or not it had jurisdiction.

Dated: 28 June 2024

endmu

Georgina Costello KC T: (03) 9225 6139 E: costello@vicbar.com.au

lley

Julian R Murphy T: (03) 9225 7777 E: julian.murphy@vicbar.com.au