

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

NOTICE OF FILING

This document was filed electronically in the High Court of Australia on 08 Jun 2022 and has been accepted for filing under the *High Court Rules 2004*. Details of filing and important additional information are provided below.

Details of Filing

File Number: S27/2022

File Title: SDCV v. Director-General of Security & Anor

Registry: Sydney

Document filed: Form 27F - Outline of oral argument

Filing party: Interveners
Date filed: 08 Jun 2022

Important Information

This Notice has been inserted as the cover page of the document which has been accepted for filing electronically. It is now taken to be part of that document for the purposes of the proceeding in the Court and contains important information for all parties to that proceeding. It must be included in the document served on each of those parties and whenever the document is reproduced for use by the Court.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA SYDNEY REGISTRY

No. S27 of 2022

BETWEEN: SDCV

Appellant

and

DIRECTOR-GENERAL OF SECURITY

First Respondent

and

ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF THE COMMONWEALTH

Second Respondent

ATTORNEY-GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF QUEENSLAND (INTERVENING) OUTLINE OF ORAL SUBMISSIONS

PART I: Internet Publication

10

20

30

40

1. This outline of oral submissions is in a form suitable for publication on the Internet.

PART II: Outline of Propositions

- 3. The court should not entrench a principle that there is no distinction between State and federal courts with respect to their obligation to act procedurally fair when exercising judicial power: cf 2RS [24].
 - 4. The reasons advanced by the Commonwealth do not support the establishment of such a principle.
 - 5. Gaudron J's observation in *Kable* that Ch III does not permit 'of different grades or qualities of justice' does not mean that State judicial power necessarily has the same character or quality as federal judicial power: cf **2RS [26]**. Her Honour's point was, and the basis of the *Kable* doctrine is, that there cannot be two different grades of *federal* judicial power: *Kable* (1996) 189 CLR 51, 103-4 (Gaudron J), 115 (McHugh J), 127-8

7 June 2022

Filed on behalf of the Attorney-General for the State of Queensland, Intervening

Document No: 13100195

(Gummow J), **JBA 5.26**, **1456-7**, **1468**, **1480-1**. Otherwise, contrary to the orthodox position of this Court, the *Kable* principle would 'simply reflect what Ch III requires in relation to the exercise of the judicial power of the Commonwealth': *Pompano* (2013) 252 CLR 38, [125]-[126]; **JBA 3.11**, **426**.

- 6. Moreover, State courts may exercise Commonwealth judicial power, State judicial power or non-judicial power. To confine an assessment of a State court's 'essential characteristics' to circumstances when it is exercising judicial power elides the nature of the institution with the nature of the function. The *Kable* principle protects the institutional integrity of State courts when exercising either judicial or non-judicial power: cf **2RS [25]**; *Minister for Home Affairs v Benbrika* (2021) 95 ALJR 166, [82] (Gageler J), **JBA 9.46, 2830**.
- 7. The *Kable* principle may tolerate modifications to procedural fairness which may be intolerable in relation to the exercise of Commonwealth judicial power: **QS [9], AR [2] n 1**.
 - 8. As the required content of procedural fairness depends on the function being exercised, the true position is that there is no principled basis to distinguish between State and federal courts with respect to their obligation to act in a way that is procedurally fair when exercising *Commonwealth* judicial power: cf 2RS [24].
- 9. Nonetheless, it may be accepted that a function which does not cause practical injustice if conferred on a State court would also not cause practical injustice if conferred on a federal court.

Dated: 8 June 2022

40

10

.........

GA Thompson Solicitor-General

Telephone: 07 3180 2222 Facsimile: 07 3236 2240

Email:

solicitor.general@justice.qld.gov.au

Felicity Nagorcka Counsel for the Attorney-General for Queensland Telephone: 07 3031 5616

Email:

felicity.nagorcka@crownlaw.qld.gov.au

Kent Blore

Counsel for the Attorney-General for Queensland Telephone: 07 3031 5619

Email:

kent.blore@crownlaw.qld.gov.au

2

Document No: 13100195