IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA MELBOURNE OFFICE OF THE REGISTRY

No. M 176 of 2010

BETWEEN:

MAURICE BLACKBURN CASHMAN

Appellant

- and -

10

FIONA HELEN BROWN

Respondent

SUPPLEMENTARY SUBMISSION OF THE APPELLANT

A. Internet:

1. This submissions is in a form suitable for publication on the internet.

B. Federal jurisdiction:

- 2. The appellant notes that the proceeding is within federal jurisdiction. The respondent is, and was at the time of commencing the proceeding in the County Court, a resident of Tasmania (AB-4.16). At least some members of the appellant firm are residents of Victoria.
- 3. The nature of federal jurisdiction, and the fact that the Victorian Parliament must be taken to have intended that s 134AB of the Accident Compensation Act be capable of being picked up and applied within federal jurisdiction, are further reasons to reject the Court of Appeal's construction of s 68(4) and s 134AB(15), and to prefer the appellant's construction.

Michael Wheelahan Tel: (03) 9225 8475

Fax: (03) 9225 8015

Email: mfwheelahan@vicbar.com.au

Stephen O'Meara Tel: (03) 9225 8654 Fax: (03) 9225 8015

Fax: (03) 9225 8015 Email: someara@vicbar.com.au

DATED:

15 March 2011.

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

2 1 MAR 2011

¹ Nicholas v R (1998) 193 CLR 173 at 188 [20] per Brennan CJ, 208 [74] per Gaudron J, 232 [146] per Gummow J.

THE REGISTRY MELBOURNE

30

20