



HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

NOTICE OF FILING

This document was filed electronically in the High Court of Australia on 27 Aug 2026 and has been accepted for filing under the *High Court Rules 2004*. Details of filing and important additional information are provided below.

Details of Filing

File Number: A23/2026
File Title: Haile v. The King & Ors
Registry: Adelaide
Document filed: (R2) Form 27D - Second Respondent's submissions
Filing party: Respondents
Date filed: 27 Aug 2026

Important Information

This Notice has been inserted as the cover page of the document which has been accepted for filing electronically. It is now taken to be part of that document for the purposes of the proceeding in the Court and contains important information for all parties to that proceeding. It must be included in the document served on each of those parties and whenever the document is reproduced for use by the Court.

Form 27D—Respondent’s submissions

IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

ADELAIDE REGISTRY

ON APPEAL FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA

BETWEEN:

BESUFIKAD HAILE

Appellant

and

THE KING

First Respondent

SADAM HUSSEIN ISAAK

Second Respondent

BEDHANE TARIKA BEKELE

Third Respondent

SECOND RESPONDENT’S SUBMISSIONS

Part I: Certification for publication

1. This submission is suitable for publication on the internet.

Part II: Concise statement of the issues

2. The appeal raises the issue of the correct approach to a prosecution application for permission to appeal pursuant to s 157(1)(b)(ii) of the *Criminal Procedure Act 1921* (SA). The issue arises from the same circumstances as the respondent Issak’s appeal in A21/2026.

Part III: Section 78B notice

3. The respondent has considered whether any notice should be given in compliance with s 78B of the *Judiciary Act 1903* (Cth). No such notice is necessary.

Part IV: Contest as to the facts

4. There are no material facts set out in the appellant's narrative of facts or chronology that are contested.

Part V: Statement of argument

5. The argument raised by the appellant Haile does not materially depart from the argument raised by the respondent Issak in his appeal (A21/2026).
6. In those circumstances, the respondent Issak makes no submissions in response.

Part VI: Statement of argument on Notice of Contention or Cross-Appeal

7. N/A

Part VII: Estimate of time for oral argument

8. Nil.

Dated 27 August 2026



.....

Andrew Culshaw

Len King Chambers

(08) 8210 6400

aculshaw@lenkingchambers.com.au



.....

Oliver Morris

Mitchell Chambers

(08) 8212 7583

omorris@mitchellchambers.com.au