

HUME
v
CAREY & ORS
[2024] HCASL 176
P16/2024

- 1 The applicant requires an extension of time within which to seek special leave to appeal from a judgment of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of Western Australia (Mullins A-JA, Hall JA and Seaward J agreeing). That judgment dismissed the applicant's appeal against orders made by the Supreme Court of Western Australia (Hill J), which made the termination of the winding up of a company dependent on payment of liquidators' fees by the applicant, as the party who sought the termination order.
- 2 The applicant has not identified a principle of general importance sufficient to warrant a grant of special leave to appeal and advances no reasons to doubt the correctness of the decision of the Court of Appeal. An appeal to this Court would enjoy no prospects of success. Accordingly, it would also be futile to grant the extension of time required.
- 3 Special leave to appeal is refused.

Edelman J
Jagot J

8 August 2024