

[HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA.]

BIBLE APPELLANT;
 DEFENDANT,

AND

KNOX RESPONDENT.
 INFORMANT,

ON APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF
 VICTORIA.

H. C. OF A. *Special leave to appeal—Gaming—Police Offences Act 1890 (Vict.) (No. 1126),*
 1907. *sec. 51.*

MELBOURNE, *Special leave to appeal from decision of Supreme Court (Knox v. Bible,*
(1907) V.L.R., 485 ; 29 A.L.T., 23), refused.
 May 28.

Griffith C.J.
 O'Connor,
 Isaacs and
 Higgins JJ.

APPLICATION for special leave to appeal.

Orders *nisi* to review two convictions of Thomas Bible for gaming offences under sec. 51 of the *Police Offences Act 1890*, upon grounds depending on the sufficiency of the evidence, the wrongful reception of evidence, and the question whether the two offences charged were parts of one continuous offence, were discharged by Cussen J.: *Knox v. Bible* (1).

Application was now made on behalf of the defendant for special leave to appeal in both cases.

H. I. Cohen in support.

The application was refused.

Solicitors for appellant, *Reynolds & Larkin*, Melbourne, for *R. W. Shellard*, Daylesford.

B. L.