

STYCHE
v
BOURKE
[2025] HCADisp 112
C8/2025

- 1 The applicant seeks special leave to appeal from the whole of the judgment of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of the Australian Capital Territory (McCallum CJ, Mossop J and Ainslie-Wallace AJ), which dismissed the applicant's appeal from the judgment of the Supreme Court of the Australian Capital Territory (McWilliam J), which, in turn, had allowed the respondent's review appeal under Div 3.10.3 of the *Magistrates Court Act 1930* (ACT) from the dismissal by the Magistrates Court of the Australian Capital Territory (Magistrate Lawton) of ten charges of committing an act of indecency without consent contrary to s 60(1) of the *Crimes Act 1900* (ACT) brought against the applicant.
- 2 The application for special leave to appeal does not raise a question of principle and any appeal would have no prospects of success. It is not in the interests of the administration of justice, either generally or in this case, for special leave to appeal to be granted.
- 3 Special leave to appeal is refused.

Gageler CJ
Gordon J
Edelman J
Gleeson J
Jagot J
Beech-Jones J

12 June 2025