

FITCH
v
GEORGE TOWN SEAFOODS PTY LTD
H1/2025

*IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION BY IAN JAMES FITCH FOR LEAVE
TO ISSUE OR FILE*
[2025] HCADisp 133
H2/2025
H3/2025

H1/2025

1 The applicant seeks special leave to appeal from part of the judgment of the Full Court of the Supreme Court of Tasmania (Wood and Estcourt JJ and Martin A-J) dismissing two appeals as well as two interlocutory applications in those appeals. That part of the Full Court's judgment dismissed an appeal from a decision of the Supreme Court of Tasmania (Brett J) which dismissed an appeal from the Tasmanian Civil and Administrative Tribunal's dismissal of the applicant's workers compensation claim.

2 The applicant has also filed two Form 21 applications seeking, respectively, an order under r 41.05.2 of the *High Court Rules 2004* (Cth) for a response to be filed by the respondent, and an order to allow the applicant to file additional documents.

3 There is no reason to doubt the correctness of the decision of the Full Court. Neither the special leave application or the Form 21 applications provide any basis upon which additional documents could cast any doubt upon the decision of the Full Court. The Form 21 applications are refused.

4 Special leave to appeal is refused.

H2/2025 and H3/2025

5 The applicant has also filed two Form 31 applications, each seeking leave to issue or file an application following an order by Steward J in each application under r 6.07.2 of the *High Court Rules 2004* (Cth) directing the Registrar to refuse to issue or file the document without the leave of a Justice. Both applications are vexatious and an abuse of process and both are refused.

Edelman J
Gleeson J

2

Edelman J
Gleeson J

7 August 2025