MEYER v SOLOMON [2025] HCADisp 221 P17/2025

- The applicant requires an extension of time within which to seek special leave to appeal from the whole of a judgment of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of Western Australia (Buss P, Murphy and Mitchell JJA), dismissing an appeal from a judgment of the Supreme Court of Western Australia (K Martin J).
- The applicant also filed an interlocutory application seeking, *inter alia*, leave to file an amended version of his principal application for special leave. In effect, the applicant seeks to file that amended application (and put more supporting evidence before this Court) in view of this Court's recent judgment on the applicant's separate (substantially duplicative) application in *Re Meyer* [2025] HCASJ 22 (Steward J).
- This Court previously refused the applicant special leave to appeal from the judgment of the Court of Appeal (in *Meyer v Solomon* [2022] HCASL 15 (Gageler and Gleeson JJ)). Accordingly, whether in its original or proposed amended form, the principal application for special leave is without sufficient merit in circumstances where the applicant has already exhausted his rights of appeal. It would therefore be futile to grant the extension of time or leave to amend which is sought.
- 4 Special leave to appeal is refused.

Steward J Jagot J

10 September 2025