

EXNER
v
HOWE & ANOR
[2025] HCADisp 2
M87/2024

- 1 The applicant requires an extension of time within which to seek special leave to appeal from a decision of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of Victoria (Beach JA), refusing the applicant's application for leave to appeal from a decision of the Supreme Court of Victoria (Keogh J). That decision dismissed a proceeding commenced by the applicant for judicial review of an appeal in the County Court of Victoria (Judge Riddell), which, relevantly, convicted the applicant of two charges of trespass under s 9(1)(e) of the *Summary Offences Act 1966* (Vic).
- 2 The application has not identified a principle of general importance sufficient to warrant the grant of special leave to appeal and advances no reasons to doubt the correctness of the decision of the Court of Appeal. An appeal to this Court would enjoy no prospects of success. Accordingly, it would also be futile to grant the extension of time that is sought.
- 3 Special leave to appeal is refused.

Edelman J
Jagot J

6 February 2025