

SCHULZ
v
THE KING
[2025] HCADisp 32
B65/2024

1 The applicant requires an extension of time within which to seek special leave to appeal from the whole of the judgment of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of Queensland (Bond and Dalton JJA, McMurdo JA dissenting) of 28 July 2023 which allowed the respondent's appeal against sentence for the applicant's pleas of guilty to an indictment containing 28 counts of various child sex offences contrary to the *Criminal Code* (Cth) and to a second indictment containing four counts contrary to s 228D(1)(b) of the *Criminal Code* (Qld), and which then resented the applicant.

2 The application for special leave to appeal does not raise a question of law of public importance and any appeal would have insufficient prospects of success. It is not in the interests of the administration of justice, either generally or in this case, for special leave to appeal to be granted. It would therefore be futile to grant the extension of time that is sought.

3 Special leave to appeal is refused.

Gageler CJ
Gordon J
Edelman J
Steward J
Gleeson J
Jagot J
Beech-Jones J

6 March 2025