

*NAH*  
v  
*THE KING*

*NAH*  
v  
*THE KING*  
[2025] HCADisp 6  
B57/2024  
B59/2024

- 1        In matter B57/2024, the applicant seeks special leave to appeal from a judgment of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of Queensland (Mullins P and Burns J, Dalton JA dissenting), dismissing the applicant's appeal against conviction on two counts following a trial by jury in the District Court of Queensland (Judge Lynham). In matter B59/2024, the applicant applies for an extension of time within which to seek special leave to appeal from the same judgment insofar as it dismissed the applicant's appeal against sentence.
- 2        The proposed appeals do not enjoy sufficient prospects of success to warrant the grant of special leave to appeal and, further, are not appropriate vehicles to determine any question of law of public importance which may arise. Accordingly, it would also be futile to grant the extension of time that is sought in matter B59/2024.
- 3        Special leave to appeal is refused.

Gageler CJ  
Gordon J  
Edelman J  
Steward J  
Gleeson J  
Jagot J  
Beech-Jones J

6 February 2025