

*JAKSIC-REPAC*  
v  
*DUNDJERSKI*  
[2026] HCADisp 95  
S2/2026

- 1       The applicant seeks special leave to appeal from a judgment of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of New South Wales (Bell CJ, Payne and Kirk JJA agreeing), which dismissed the applicant's appeal from a decision of the Supreme Court of New South Wales (Hammerschlag CJ in Eq) which admitted a will and codicil to probate in favour of the respondent.
- 2       The proposed appeal does not raise any question of law of sufficient public importance to justify the grant of special leave to appeal. There is no reason to doubt the correctness of the reasoning of the Court of Appeal. The circumstances of the application and background of the proceeding do not justify any order for costs of this application other than that the applicant pay the costs of the respondent.
- 3       Special leave to appeal is refused with costs.

Gageler CJ  
Gordon J  
Edelman J  
Steward J  
Jagot J  
Beech-Jones J

9 April 2026