

FRIGGER & ANOR
v
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES OF AUSTRALIA PTY LTD (ACN 082 879 641) &
ANOR
[2026] HCADisp 108
P8/2026

- 1 The applicants seek special leave to appeal from a judgment of the Full Court of the Federal Court of Australia (Snaden, O'Sullivan and Neskovic JJ), which dismissed an appeal with costs from judgments of the Federal Court of Australia (Feutrill J), which relevantly determined three separate questions that arose in the proceedings adversely to the applicants (on 26 April 2024) and which consequently dismissed the applicants' amended originating process (on 15 November 2024).
- 2 On 31 March 2026, Cameron Eastwood, a legal practitioner, filed a notice of appearance stating that the respondents are represented by Eastwood Law. The applicants filed an application seeking an order that the notice of appearance be removed from the court file, supported by an affidavit of the second applicant sworn on 10 April 2026. That application is refused. In relation to the first respondent, the application is based on facts that are inconsistent with the judgment of the Full Court. In relation to the second respondent, the affidavit does not identify a sufficient basis for making the order sought.
- 3 As to the special leave application, there is no reason to doubt the correctness of the decision of the Full Court, and it is not in the interests of the administration of justice for special leave to be granted. In particular, the first three proposed special leave questions are based on unsubstantiated claims of criminal conduct. The fourth proposed special leave question, alleging that the Full Court constructively failed to exercise its jurisdiction and denied the applicants procedural fairness, does not arise where the proceedings were conducted upon an agreed statement of facts.
- 4 Special leave to appeal is refused.

Edelman J
Gleeson J

7 May 2026