

MAO
v
*MINISTER FOR IMMIGRATION, CITIZENSHIP AND MULTICULTURAL
AFFAIRS & ANOR*
[2026] HCADisp 115
M11/2026

- 1 The applicant requires an extension of time within which to seek special leave to appeal from the whole of the judgment of the Full Court of the Federal Court of Australia (Moshinsky, Anderson and Dowling JJ) which dismissed the applicant's appeal from the judgment of the Federal Court of Australia (O'Callaghan J) which, in turn, dismissed the applicant's application for judicial review of a decision of the second respondent which had affirmed a decision of a delegate of the first respondent not to revoke the mandatory cancellation of the applicant's Special Category (Class TY) (Subclass 444) visa under s 501CA(4) of the *Migration Act 1958* (Cth).

- 2 The application for special leave to appeal does not raise a question of general importance and has insufficient prospects of success to warrant a grant of special leave to appeal. Accordingly, it would be futile to grant the extension of time that is sought.

- 3 Special leave to appeal is refused with costs.

Gageler CJ
Gordon J
Edelman J
Steward J
Gleeson J
Jagot J
Beech-Jones J

7 May 2026