

BRADSHAW
v
THE KING
[2026] HCADisp 145
B17/2026

1 The applicant applies for special leave to appeal from the whole of the judgment of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of Queensland in *R v Bradshaw* [2025] QCA 172 (Bond JA, Gotterson A-JA and Bradley J), which dismissed the applicant's appeal against conviction for murder.

2 Special leave to appeal is granted in respect of ground two, that the Court of Appeal erred in finding that the trial judge's failure to give a direction of the kind given in *Bromley v The Queen* (1986) 161 CLR 315 did not result in a miscarriage of justice.

3 In respect of ground one, that the Court of Appeal erred in finding that the primary judge's refusal to exclude the applicant's record of interview in *R v Bradshaw* [2022] QSCPR 16 did not result in a miscarriage of justice, the question of a grant of special leave is deferred to be determined as part of the hearing on the basis that the appeal submissions for the applicant and respondent are to address, in respect of ground one: (i) whether the Court of Appeal's construction of s 422(1) and (3) of the *Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000* (Qld) is correct; (ii) if so, the legal and practical consequences of that construction for the question of admissibility of the police record of interview; (iii) if not, the proper construction of that provision and the legal and practical consequences of that construction for the question of admissibility of the police record of interview.

Gageler CJ
Gordon J
Edelman J
Steward J
Jagot J
Beech-Jones J

11 June 2026