

CARL SEYMOUR (A PSEUDONYM)

v

THE KING

[2026] HCADisp 137

M23/2026

1 The applicant requires an extension of time within which to seek special leave to appeal from the judgment of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of Victoria (Taylor, Boyce and Osborn JJA), which refused an application for leave to appeal and dismissed the applicant's appeal against conviction following a trial before a jury in the County Court of Victoria (Judge Tiwana).

2 Any appeal has insufficient prospects of success to warrant a grant of special leave to appeal. It is not in the interests of the administration of justice, either generally or in this case, for special leave to appeal to be granted. It would therefore be futile to grant the extension of time that is sought.

3 Special leave to appeal is refused.

Gageler CJ
Gordon J
Edelman J
Steward J
Jagot J
Beech-Jones J

11 June 2026