

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

GORDON J

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION BY AZO24
FOR LEAVE TO ISSUE OR FILE

[2026] HCASJ 23
Date of Judgment: 29 July 2026
S65 of 2026

ORDER

- 1. The ex parte application filed on 2 July 2026 for leave to issue or file is refused.*

Representation

The applicant is unrepresented

1 GORDON J. This is an ex parte application for leave to issue or file an application
for an urgent injunction and apprehended personal violence order "in lieu of"
three applications for special leave to appeal filed in this Court. The applications
for special leave to appeal have not yet been determined.

2 The respondents named in the proposed application the subject of this
ex parte application for leave to issue or file are the Commonwealth of Australia
and the State of New South Wales. The relief that the applicant seeks in
the proposed application includes "an injunction pursuant to ss 16 and 33 of
the *Judiciary Act 1903* (Cth) to the officers of the Commonwealth and State of
New South Wales, representing New South Wales Police, and the police agents,
as officers of the State of New South Wales residing in units 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, [and]
15 to immediately cease harassment, intimidation and threats against the applicant
and any covert access to her residence and car and of her parents' residence".
In addition, the applicant seeks an apprehended personal violence order against
persons who are not named respondents, as well as a mandatory injunction that
named persons vacate particular residences immediately, not go within
the boundary of the relevant apartment building and surrender all keys in their
possession.

3 On 29 June 2026, Jagot J directed that, pursuant to r 6.07.2 of the *High
Court Rules 2004* (Cth), the application was not to be issued or filed without
the leave of a Justice first had and obtained by the applicant. This application for
leave to issue or file the application is supported by an affidavit affirmed by
the applicant on 27 June 2026. I have read that affidavit, as well as the proposed
application for relief.

4 Neither the proposed application nor the applicant's supporting affidavit
disclose any rational legal argument that could support the relief sought.
The claims set out in the proposed application are manifestly hopeless.

5 Given that a document the subject of an application under r 6.07.3 of
the *High Court Rules* is to be considered "on its face",¹ it is implicit that
the application falls to be determined without an oral hearing.² The ex parte
application for leave to issue or file an application is refused.

1 *High Court Rules*, r 6.07.1.

2 *Re Young* (2020) 94 ALJR 448 at 451 [12]; 376 ALR 567 at 570.