*KOUVARIS*
*v*
*THE KING*
[2026] HCADisp 241
A25/2026

1 The applicant requires an extension of time within which to seek special leave to appeal from part of the judgment of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of South Australia (S Doyle, David and Stanley JJA) which dismissed the applicant's appeal against conviction on one count of cultivating a large commercial quantity of a controlled plant contrary to s 33B(1) of the *Controlled Substances Act 1984* (SA).

2 The application for special leave to appeal does not raise any point of principle and any appeal, if special leave to appeal were granted, has insufficient prospects of success to warrant a grant of special leave. It is not in the interests of the administration of justice, either generally or in this case, for special leave to appeal to be granted. It would accordingly be futile to grant the extension of time that is sought.

3 Special leave to appeal is refused.

Gageler CJ
Gordon J
Edelman J
Gleeson J
Jagot J
Beech-Jones J

8 October 2026