KADER
v
ACT DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS
[2026] HCADisp 270
C14/2026

1 The applicant seeks special leave to appeal from the whole of the judgment of the Court of Appeal of the Supreme Court of the Australian Capital Territory (White J), which refused the applicant leave under s 68ZG of the *Supreme Court Act 1933* (ACT) to bring an appeal against conviction, and consequently sentence, for perjury on the basis of fresh and compelling evidence. The applicant's earlier appeal against conviction for this and another offence was dismissed by the Court of Appeal (Loukas-Karlsson, Baker and Taylor JJ). The applicant did not seek special leave to appeal to this Court against the earlier decision of the Court of Appeal.

2 The application for special leave to appeal does not raise any point of principle and any appeal, if special leave to appeal were granted, has no prospects of success to warrant a grant of special leave. It is not in the interests of the administration of justice, either generally or in this case, for special leave to appeal to be granted.

3 Special leave to appeal is refused.

Gordon J
Beech-Jones J

5 November 2026