HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

GORDON J

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION BY ALI BILAL FOR LEAVE TO ISSUE OR FILE

[2026] HCASJ 42
Date of Judgment: 20 November 2026
S117 of 2026

ORDER

1. *The ex parte application filed on 3 November 2026 for leave to issue or file an application for a constitutional or other writ is refused.*

Representation

The applicant is unrepresented

1 GORDON J. This is an ex parte application for leave to issue or file an application for a constitutional or other writ against a judge (Bromwich J) and a registrar of the Federal Court of Australia.

2 On 2 November 2026, Jagot J directed that, pursuant to r 6.07.2 of the *High Court Rules 2004* (Cth), the application for a constitutional or other writ was not to be issued or filed without the leave of a Justice first had and obtained by the applicant. This application for leave to issue or file the application is supported by two affidavits affirmed by the applicant on 26 October 2026. I have read the affidavits, as well as the proposed application for a constitutional or other writ.

3 The relief that the applicant seeks in the proposed application for a constitutional or other writ includes, in substance:

(1) a writ of certiorari issue quashing the direction or purported direction of Bromwich J of the Federal Court dated 8 October 2026 under r 2.27(e) of the *Federal Court Rules 2011* (Cth), insofar as it directed that the originating application and statement of claim presented for filing in that Court under eLodgment ID 1749364 not be accepted for filing ("the Direction");

(2) a declaration that the Direction and the subsequent rejection of the eLodgment are invalid or otherwise of no effect; and

(3) a writ of mandamus issue requiring a registrar of the Federal Court to accept the eLodgment for filing as lodged.

4 Neither the proposed application for a constitutional or other writ, nor the applicant's supporting affidavits, disclose any rational legal argument that could support the relief sought. The claims set out in the proposed application are manifestly hopeless.

5 Given that a document the subject of an application under r 6.07.3 of the *High Court Rules* is to be considered "on its face",[1] it is implicit that the application falls to be determined without an oral hearing.[2] The ex parte application filed on 3 November 2026 for leave to issue or file an application for a constitutional or other writ is refused.

---

1 *High Court Rules*, r 6.07.1.

2 *Re Young* (2020) 94 ALJR 448 at 451 [12]; 376 ALR 567 at 570.