20/1922 Suthe High Court of Australia_

Flowerdale Tunberbottyhto

Jones ___

Glasons for judgment.

Coy. Pty. Ltd.

JUDGMENT:

Kyor J. Lance er, Higgins, Gazandeepy, Howers, Alderson, It is admitted that the only question which remains open for argument is whether the Company is, by force of section 29 (ba) of the Commonwealth Conciliation and Arbitration Act 1904-1921 bound by the award of the 18th December 1920. If it comes within,

the terms of that enactment it is so bound and we all think that

on the evidence it does come within those terms.

The order nisi must be discharged with costs.