THE COUNCIL OF THE SHIRE OF BULLI V DAWSON.

JUDGMENT.

KNOX C.J. ISAACS J.

GAVAN DUFFY & STARKE JJ

We are of opinion that there was swidence fit to be left to the jury on the question whether Newman in giving the notice contained in the letter of the 20th july 1926 was acting within the scope of his employment and on the question whether Newman was actuated by malice. We are further of opinion that if Newman in writing the letter abovementioned was acting within the scope of his employment and was actuated by malice the appellant Council is responsible to the respondent. We express no opinion on the other questions rais in the Supreme Court or on this appeal.

The appeal is dismissed with costs.

JUDGMENT:

HIGGINS. J.

I concur with my learned brothers in the opinion that
this case ought to have gene to the jury, and ought new to go to
m jury. There was seme evidence fit to be left to the jury,
and the Full Court of N. S. W. was justified in ordering a
new trial. But I desire not to commit myself to the proposi-tion contained in the second sentence of the statement
just handed down by the Chief Justice, in its present absolute
form: The less I say on the matter the better, as my remarks
may prefudice one party or the other in the new trial.