

IN THE HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

TULK

V.

THE QUEEN

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT

Oral Judgment delivered at SYDNEY
on MONDAY, 15th DECEMBER 1969

TULK

v.

THE QUEEN

JUDGMENT
(ORAL)

McTIERNAN J.

TULK

v.

THE QUEEN

In my opinion this application should be refused. I think that some of the criticism which counsel for the applicant has made of the Court of Criminal Appeal is either misconceived or unfounded. I think that the Court of Criminal Appeal has not, in any respect, failed to exercise its jurisdiction - the jurisdiction vested in it by the statute - and that the application is not one this Court should grant. In my opinion we should not interfere.

TULK

v.

THE QUEEN

JUDGMENT
(ORAL)

KITTO J.

TULK

v.

THE QUEEN

In my opinion the whole of the criticism directed against the Court of Criminal Appeal, intemperate as it was, was completely without foundation and should never have been uttered. I agree that the application should be refused.

TULK

v.

THE QUEEN

JUDGMENT
(ORAL)

WINDEYER J.

TULK

v.

THE QUEEN

I agree that this application should be refused.

TULK

v.

THE QUEEN

JUDGMENT
(ORAL)

OWEN J.

TULK

v.

THE QUEEN

I agree.

TULK

v.

THE QUEEN

JUDGMENT
(ORAL)

WALSH J.

TULK

v.

THE QUEEN

In my opinion the application should be
refused.